University of Essex

Online

Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	**Student number:** 323488
Details of any extensions etc:	**Submission Attempt:** First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	**Satisfactory**	Whilst research has taken place and there is an appropriate use of research references, the content of the presentation is very literal as opposed to being evaluative and analytical. You do discuss key points necessary for the summative, but there is a lack of planning in the manner in which this is conveyed, which then translates into a very lengthy discussion in some instances on more general aspects of the topic as opposed to being very focused and concise in your discussion. For future summative of this nature, I would take more time to plan what the discussion needs to be to keep a focused approach to content.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	**Satisfactory**	The content is very descriptive. It does look at real world applicability in the context of your discussion and there is theory applied to the discussion, but the focus is on interpreting research as opposed to debating and comparing research perspectives that you have found. For future summative you do need that critical debate running all the way through your content. A satisfactory outcome.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	**Satisfactory**	The presentation is well organised, it is just far too long. A couple of points on the structure of the presentation; some of your slides fade out too quickly and don't give the viewer of the piece the opportunity to read the content. Regarding text on slides, on some slides there is too much text, which leaves the viewer reading the slide and trying to listen to the audio at the same time. As we have discussed extensively in live seminars, keep text to a minimum and/or use bullets with short phrases. The text on a slide is supposed to be a prompt and not a script. There are a couple of your slides that linger on screen for a lengthy amount of time; you need to break the visuals up so that your audience isn't left looking at a slide for a long period of time whilst you speak. This style of presenting can leave you audience disengaged. The slides

		do broadly reflect the summative requirements regarding general content, this is a satisfactory outcome here.
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	**Satisfactory**	The presentation is way over the suggested time limit, [in excess of 26 minutes long]. The presentation was only supposed to be 15 minutes long and so you need to consider summative time restriction moving forward. Plan your presentation so that it is concise and focused and follows the key aspects required by your topic – some aspects of the discussion were too lengthy. Your audio was clipped at the beginning of some of your recording which interfered with the clarity of the delivery. You also need to increase the pace and volume of your oral presentation. Your voice was quite quiet and your style of delivery added to the issue of the content being too lengthy. For oral citation use the term 'et al' as opposed to 'and others'. Et al is the academic term to use in oral as well as written citation. This outcome just sits in the satisfactory category.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? **Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%**: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	**Satisfactory** **Satisfactory**	There is a satisfactory attempt to cover the mains points of the discussion. You do for example identify potential gaps for further research. I would also mix your research references and citations up a little more for future summative so that there is a little more variety, [instead of citing the same reference over in some instances]. As discussed your content is very descriptive and literal. You need to get some dynamic debate in your discussion. I also advise using newer texts in some instances as some of the research texts were quite old. You did discuss an over view of research methodology. Overall this is a satisfactory outcome.
Overall		
Positives: • Outcomes are satisfactory • The assignment was handed in on time • Research has taken place to a satisfactory standard		

Points for development:

- You need to manage your time better and plan your summative a little more
- The presentation was over 26 minutes long and the allocated time was 15 minutes
- You need to focus the content down and develop some critical debate in the content as opposed to 'interpreting' research